



2009 Summer Conference Handout

Sunday, July 19, 2009

12:00 – 1:30 pm

Bellis-Ferre Model Updates and Crosschecks

Presenter:

Teri Bellis, Ph.D.

Functional Deficit Profiling of Central Auditory Processing Disorders: An Update on the Bellis/Ferre Model

Teri James Bellis, Ph.D., CCC-A, FAAA, F-ASHA
Department of Communication Sciences and
Disorders
The University of South Dakota
and
Division of Basic Biomedical Sciences
Sanford School of Medicine
Vermillion, SD, USA

Foundations and Ontogenesis of the Bellis/Ferre Model

■ Ontogenesis (def.):

- Individual development of a living thing, all sequence of its transformations from birth to the end of life
(<http://www.endeav.org/evolut/age/sntut/sntut.htm>)
- The events involved in the development of an organism, from the earliest embryonic stage to maturity
(http://www.nature.com/nrn/journal/v6/n12/glossary/nr1806_glossary.html)

■ 1981-1985: Focus on neurophysiologic aspects; auditory-specific, primarily electrophysiological

■ 1985: Musiek et al. Neurophysiologic profiles of CAPD in children with LD (Musiek, Gollegly, & Ross, 1985):

- **Neuromorphological disorders (65-70%)**
- **Maturational delay (25-30%)**
- **Neurologic disorder, disease, or insult (<5%)**

■ 1985-1988: Patients (primarily adults) post-TBI, auditory (physiologic and behavioral) combined with neuropsychologic/neuropsychiatric profiles

■ 1989: School-aged children and application of neuropsychologic and auditory patterns based on research in brain-behavior relationships

■ 1992: Katz Buffalo Model (conceived in 1986) Four classifications of CAPD (Katz, 1992)

- Decoding
- Integration
- Tolerance-Fading Memory
- Organization

■ Recognized need for model that encapsulated evidence both from auditory neuroscience and from neuropsychology, cognitive neuroscience, and related fields

Functional Deficit Profiling

■ **Purpose: To relate (auditory) deficits to language, learning, communication, and related sequelae for development of ecologically valid treatment plan**

- **Should recognize heterogeneity of disorder(s)**
- **Should be empirically derived**
- **Should be consistent with underlying neuroscience and current scientific conceptualizations of the disorder**

- **As such, should be dynamic**
- **Should relate test findings to functional deficits and patterns of strengths and weaknesses for purposes of directing ecologically valid intervention endeavors**

- **Involves examination of auditory and cross-discipline data for patterns that conform to well-established neurophysiologic and neuropsychologic tenets**
- **Not intended to be a “catch-all,” cookie-cutter approach to interpretation and programming treatment**

■ **Key to interpretation, diagnosis, and effective treatment: Presence of patterns that make sense based on scientific foundations and principles**

■ **Functional deficit profiling serves as a guide for clinicians to assist them in understanding these patterns**

**The Bellis/Ferre Model
(the early years)**

■ June, 1995 – EAA Summer Institute, Asheville, NC – Bellis & Ferre – “Assessment and Management of CAPD in Children”

■ Heavily influenced by research in auditory and cognitive neuroscience/ neuropsychology; Luria’s model of primary, secondary, and tertiary brain areas; and Katz’s functional Buffalo Model

■ Initially, consisted of four primary subprofiles (Bellis, 1996; Bellis & Ferre, 1996; Ferre, 1997)

- **Auditory Decoding** (left hemisphere, primary auditory cortex)
- **Integration** (corpus callosum???)
- **Associative** (left hemisphere, associative cortex)
- **Output-Organization** (efferent system???)

■ 1996-1999 – ASHA CAPD task force document (ASHA, 1996), research on right-hemisphere sequelae, and additional literature led to revisions to the model:

■ Three primary profiles and two secondary profiles (Bellis, 1999; Bellis & Ferre, 1999; Bellis, 2002, 2003):

■ Primary Profiles:

- **Auditory Decoding** (left hemisphere, primary auditory cortex)
- **Integration** (corpus callosum)
- **Prosodic** (right hemisphere)

■ Secondary Profiles:

- **Associative** (left hemisphere, association cortex...language???)
- **Output-Organization** (efferent system??? Attention???)

■ 2003 – “The Efficacy of a Multi-Dimensional Assessment Approach in the Differential Diagnosis of Children with CAPD and ADHD” by Nicole Campbell, PhD student, The University of South Africa, Pretoria – found that children with ADHD and other pan-sensory disorders inevitably demonstrate findings consistent with the Output-Organization profile

■ 2005 – ASHA Task Force on APD Technical Report and Position Statement (ASHA, 2005a,b)

Definition of (C)APD:

- (Central) Auditory Processing (broadly) is the effectiveness/efficiency in utilizing auditory information by the CNS
- More narrowly, it is the perceptual processing of auditory information in the CNS and the neurobiologic activity that underlies that processing and gives rise to the electrophysiologic potentials.

■ **(C)AP includes the mechanisms responsible for:**

- **Sound localization and lateralization**
- **Auditory discrimination**
- **Auditory performance with degraded signals**
- **Temporal aspects of audition**
- **Auditory performance with competing signals**

■ **Key Aspects of the Definition**

- Deficit in the neural processing of auditory stimuli
- Cannot be attributed to higher-order language, cognitive, or related confounds
- May lead to difficulties in higher-order language, learning, and communication function
- May co-exist with, but *is not the result of*, dysfunction in other modalities

■ **Activities such as phonological awareness, attention to and memory for auditory information, auditory synthesis, comprehension and interpretation, and similar skills may be related to (C)AP, but *they are higher-order cognitive/communicative and/or language-related functions and ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE DEFINITION OF (C)AP.***

■ **Resultant revisions to the model:**

- **Deletion of the Associative secondary profile** (primarily language)

- **Deletion of the Output-Organization secondary profile** (likely attention or other higher-order function, yields task-related findings rather than patterns consistent with underlying neuroscience; does not meet current consensus definition of (C)APD)

**The Bellis/Ferre Model
(current)**

Auditory Decoding Deficit

■ **Central auditory deficits indicate left-hemisphere (primary auditory cortex) pattern:**

- Right-ear (or bilateral, with right worse than left) deficit on dichotic speech tasks and monaural low-redundancy speech tasks (especially time-compressed speech)
- Poor phoneme discrimination and absent MMN for contrasts involving rapid spectro-temporal acoustic change

- Poor temporal resolution abilities, possibly worse for right-ear stimuli
- Reduced LH electrophysiologic responses (MLR, cortical) elicited by speech signals]
- May exhibit poor performance on temporal patterning tests in linguistic labeling condition
- Performance on analogous visual tasks unaffected

■ **Associated difficulties in left-hemisphere functions:**

- Phonological decoding (word attack) difficulties in reading/spelling
- Speech-in-noise problems
- Better performance with visual/multimodality cues
- May have a history of other phonological and language- or vocabulary-based concerns
- Better Performance than Verbal IQ

Prosodic Deficit

■ **Central auditory deficits indicate right-hemisphere pattern:**

- Left-ear deficit on dichotic speech tasks
- Poor temporal patterning performance (BOTH humming and labeling)
- Reduced RH electrophysiologic responses (MLR, cortical) to standard nonspeech signals
- Intact auditory closure (monaural low-redundancy-speech) skills

- Intact phoneme discrimination for contrasts involving rapid spectro-temporal acoustic change
- Performance on analogous visual tasks affected only if contour recognition/sequencing is required (e.g., visual high/low or duration patterns)

- **Associated difficulties in right-hemisphere functions:**
 - Problems with prosody perception
 - Sight word reading and other Gestalt patterning difficulties in reading/spelling
 - Sequencing difficulties
 - Other RH difficulties (e.g., visual-spatial skills, math calculation, better verbal than performance IQ)

Integration Deficit

- **Auditory deficits indicate inefficient interhemispheric transfer:**
 - Left-ear deficit on dichotic speech tasks (opposite for nonspeech)
 - Poor temporal patterning performance (labeling ONLY)
 - Traditional electrophysiologic responses (MLR, cortical) often normal; may see reduced hemispheric asymmetry to speech stimuli

- Intact phoneme discrimination, temporal resolution, monaural-low-redundancy, and related skills
- Performance on analogous visual tasks mirrors performance on auditory tasks (Bellis et al, 2008; Bellis et al., in preparation)

■ **Associated interhemispheric difficulties:**

- Speech-in-noise and localization difficulties – Hallmark Symptom
- Poorer performance with multimodality or visual cues
- Sound-symbol association difficulties
- May have subtle difficulties in other interhemispheric tasks (bimanual/bipedal activities, etc.) but not “true” sensory integration dysfunction

**The Future of the Bellis/Ferre Model
(2009 and beyond)**

A Fourth Subprofile?

- Brainstem timing (or “speech-sound transcription”) deficit
- Abnormal performance on BioMARK, associated with speech-in-noise complaints, phonological reading deficits, and other symptoms
- Indicates potential for success with auditory training

The BioMARK: Biological Marker of Auditory Processing

- Measures “speech-sound transcription” in central auditory pathways
- Neurophysiologic response - Normative values currently available for ages 5-12, 18-28

- Research from Northwestern University’s Auditory Neuroscience Lab indicates that approximately 30% of children with language-based learning problems (including reading) exhibit abnormal brainstem timing on the BioMARK (e.g., Banai et al., 2005)

- These same children benefit from auditory training

- The degree of brainstem timing abnormality correlates with the degree of difficulties in phonological abilities and associated auditory difficulties (e.g., speech in noise) (e.g., Banai et al., 2009)

■ Research at USD’s Neuroscience Lab (Billiet, 2008; Bellis et al., in preparation) indicates that the children with abnormal brainstem timing do NOT meet current behavioral diagnostic criteria for (C)APD

■ Therefore, they would be “missed” and auditory training would not be recommended without the BioMARK

■ The data suggest further that, of the children tested who did not exhibit abnormal brainstem timing, 100% met current diagnostic criteria for (C)APD

■ Patterns of deficit observed in these children suggested left- and/or inter-hemispheric deficit, supporting the Phonological Theory of dyslexia in these children

■ Recent reconceptualizations of the relationship among bottom-up/top-down auditory processing, phonological representation, corticofugal influences on phonological representation, and related abilities support these complex associations (e.g., Ramus & Szenkovits, 2008)

■ So...stay tuned!

Questions?

References

- American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. (1996). Central auditory processing: Current status of research and implications for clinical practice. *American Journal of Audiology*, 5, 41–54.
- American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. (2005a). (Central) Auditory processing disorders [Technical Report]. Available at <http://www.asha.org/members/deskref-journals/deskref/default>.
- American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. (2005b). (Central) auditory processing disorders—The role of the audiologist [Position statement]. Available at <http://www.asha.org/members/deskref-journals/deskref/default>

- Banai K, Hornickel JM, Skoe E, Nicol T, Zecker S, Kraus N (2009). Reading and subcortical auditory function. *Cerebral Cortex* doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhp024.
- Banai K, Nicol T, Zecker S, Kraus N (2005). Brainstem timing: Implications for cortical processing and literacy. *Journal of Neuroscience* 25(43): 9850 - 9857.
- Bellis, T.J. (1996). *Assessment and management of central auditory processing disorders in the educational setting: From science to practice*. San Diego, CA: Singular Publishing Group, Inc.
- Bellis, T.J. (1999). Subprofiles of central auditory processing disorders. *Educational Audiology Review*, 16, 4-9.

- Bellis, T.J., & Ferre, J.M. (1999). A multidimensional approach to the differential diagnosis of central auditory processing disorders in children. In T.J. Bellis (Ed.), *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology (special issue)*, 10, 319-328.
- Bellis, T.J. (2002). *When the brain can't hear: Unraveling the mystery of auditory processing disorder*. New York: Pocket Books.
- Bellis, T.J. (2003). *Assessment and management of central auditory processing disorders in the educational setting: From science to practice. Second edition*. Clifton Park, NY: Delmar Thompson Learning.
- Bellis, T.J., Billiet, C., & Ross, J. (2008). Hemispheric lateralization of bilaterally presented homologous visual and auditory stimuli in normal adults, normal children, and children with central auditory dysfunction. *Brain and Cognition*, 66, 280-289.

- Bellis, T.J., Billiet, C., & Ross, J. (in preparation). Utility of central auditory tests and corresponding visual analogs in the differential diagnosis of central auditory processing disorder [(C)APD] and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)
- Bellis, T.J., & Ferre, J.M. (1996). Assessment and management of CAPD in children. *Educational Audiology Monograph*, 4, 23-27.
- Bellis, T.J., & Ferre, J.M. (1999). A multidimensional approach to the differential diagnosis of central auditory processing disorders in children. In T.J. Bellis (Ed.), *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology (special issue)*, 10, 319-328.

- Billiet, C. (2008). *The relationship between brainstem temporal processing and performance on tests of central auditory function in children with reading disorders*. Unpublished doctoral capstone, The University of South Dakota, July, 2008.
- Billiet, C., & Bellis, T.J. (in preparation). The relationship between brainstem temporal processing and performance on tests of central auditory function in children with reading disorders.
- Ferre, J.M. (1997). *Processing power: A guide to CAPD assessment and management*. San Antonio, TX: Communication Skill Builders.
- Katz, J. (1992). Classification of auditory processing disorders. In J. Katz, N. Stecker, D. Henderson (Eds.), *Central Auditory Processing: A Transdisciplinary View* (p. 81-92). Chicago: Mosby Yearbook.

- Musiek, F., Gollegly, K., & Ross, M. (1985). Profiles of types of auditory processing disorders in children with learning disabilities. *Journal of Children with Communication Disorders*, 9, 43.
- Ramus, F., & Szenkovits, G. (2008). What phonological deficit? *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 61, 129-141.
